arXiv:1412.8498v1 [math.RA] 29 Dec 2014

A Proof of the Conjecture by Carpentier-De Sole-Kac

Keaton Stubis

October 20, 2014

0. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a differential field with derivation ∂, and let R be a differential subring of $\mathcal{K}$. We consider an $n \times n$ matrix M, whose elements lie in the ring $R[\partial]$. A number of useful notions can be defined on such a matrix, such as the following ones:

For a matrix A with entries in the field $\mathcal{K}[\partial]$, the Dieudonné Determinant has the form $detA = det_1 A\lambda^d$, where $det_1 A \in \mathcal{K}$, λ is indeterminate, and d is an integer. Some of its characterizing properties are $detA \cdot detB = detAB$, that $detA$ changes sign upon permuting two rows of A, and that subtracting h times A's ith row from its jth row leaves $detA$ unchanged, when $h \in \mathcal{K}[\partial]$ and $i \neq j$. Furthermore, if A is upper triangular, then $det_1 A$ is the product of the leading coefficients of the diagonal entries, and d is the sum of their orders. In such a case, if any of the diagonal entries are 0, then these evaluate to $det_1 A = 0$ and $d = -\infty$. That a determinant with these properties exists is shown in a more general context in [Die43].

Definition 0.1. The total order of a matrix A is

$$tord(A) = \max_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ord(A_{i,\sigma(i)})$$

where S_n denotes the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots n\}$. We can then also define the degeneracy degree of A by

$$dd(A) = tord(A) - d(A)$$

where $d(A)$ is the order of $detA$.

Definition 0.2. A system of integers $(N_1, N_2, \ldots N_n, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n)$ is called a majorant of A if for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$

$$ord(A_{ij}) \leq N_j - h_i$$

Given a matrix A and a majorant of that matrix, one can associate a characteristic matrix $\bar{A}(\lambda)$ to the majorant by the equation

$$\bar{A}_{ij}(\lambda) = A_{ij;N_j - h_i}\lambda^{N_j - h_i}$$

where $A_{ij;N_j-h_i}$ is the coefficient of $\partial^{N_j-h_i}$ in A_{ij}. We can also note that fact that for any majorant

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i - h_i \geq tord(A)$$

We call a majorant optimal when equality holds in the above statement.

The following theorem of [CDSK12] follows from the results in [Huf65].

Theorem 0.3 Let A be a matrix with elements in $\mathcal{K}[\partial]$ and let $detA \neq 0$. Then:

i. $dd(A) \geq 0$

ii. there exists an optimal majorant of A

iii. if $dd(A) \geq 1$, then $det(\bar{A}(\lambda)) = 0$ for any majorant

iv. if $dd(A) = 0$, then $det(\bar{A}(\lambda)) = 0$ for any majorant which is not optimal, and $det(\bar{A}(\lambda)) = detA$ for any majorant which is optimal

It is obvious that $det_1 A \in R$ if $dd(A) = 0$, and it was shown in [CDSK12] that $det_1 A$ always lies in the integral closure of R in K. However, in general $det_1 A \notin R$; there is a counterexample in [CDSK12] with $dd(A) = 2$. It was conjectured in [CDSK12] that $det_1 A \in R$ when $dd(A) = 1$. In the present paper this conjecture is proved.

1. Proof of the Carpentier-De Sole-Kac conjecture.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a differential field, and let R be a differential subring that is a subring of $\mathcal{K}$. Now, let M be a matrix whose elements lie in $R[\partial]$, and let $D = det_1 M$. If $dd(M) = 1$, then $D \in R$.

Proof. First, note that we may multiply we may increase the order of each term in a given row or column by either left or right multiplying by a matrix of the form $diag(1 \ldots 1\, \partial\, 1 \ldots 1)$. This operation results in a matrix whose entries are still in R and also leaves the coefficient of the determinant unchanged. Furthermore, it increases both the total order and degree of the determinant by 1, leaving the degeneracy degree unchanged. Next, we would like to extract an optimal majorant $N_1, N_2, \ldots N_n, h_1, h_2, \ldots h_n$. Both a definition and some of its basic properties can be found in [CDSK12,Def4.6] and [CDSK,Thm4.7]. A majorant exists as long as $D \neq 0$, which we may assume, since otherwise $D = 0$, at which point D must lie in R anyway. Now, define N to be the largest of all the N_i and h the minimum of the h_i. Note that when we use the process described earlier to increase the degrees of the elements of column i by 1, we can get an optimal majorant for the new matrix by simply taking the old majorant and increasing N_i by 1. Similarly, if we increase the degrees in row i by 1, then the new majorant is just the old majorant, with h_i decreased by 1.

We can now use this to create a new matrix M' as follows. Increase the degrees in column i by 1 $N - N_i$ times, and increase the degrees in row i by 1 $h_i - h$ times. Then, M' will have a determinant coefficient of D, degeneracy degree 1, and an optimal majorant of $N, N, \ldots N, h, h, \ldots h$. From this optimal majorant, we may extract two properties of M'. First, every entry has degree less than or equal to $N - h$. Also, the total order is $n(N - h)$, found by summing over the majorant. These two facts together imply that every row must contain at least one term of degree exactly $N - h$. In fact, they show something stronger, but this is all we will need.

We now know that the largest degree term has degree $N - h$. We can now express the matrix M' in the form $A\partial^{N-h} + B\partial^{N-h-1} \ldots$, where A is the leading coefficient matrix of terms of degree $N - h$, B contains the terms of degree $N - h - 1$, and so on.

Now, we know that since M' is degenerate, its characteristic matrix has determinant 0 (see [CDSK12,Thm4.7]). In this case, the characteristic matrix is exactly A. Letting the rows of A be called $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_n$, the fact that A has determinant 0 implies a linear relation amongst the rows with coefficients in the fraction field of R. We may clear denominators to get a relation with coefficients strictly in the ring R.

$$c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2 \ldots + c_n A_n = 0$$

Next, multiply the first row of M' by c_1 via the use of an elementary matrix. From the total order and degeneracy of M', we can see that the determinant of this product is $c_1 D \lambda^{n(N-h)-1}$. We can further alter the matrix by next subtracting c_i times the ith row from the first row, for each $i \neq 1$. This operation leaves the determinant unchanged, and yields a new matrix we call M''. We express M'' as a sum similar to the

one we used before, so that $M'' = A'\partial^{N-h} + B'\partial^{N-h-1} \dots$ for new matrices A' and B'. These matrices take on the following forms:

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad B' = \begin{bmatrix} c_1B_1 + c_2B_2 \dots + c_nB_n \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We now note that the total order of M'' is at least $n(N-h)-1$, the order of its determinant. On the other hand, $N, N, \dots N, h+1, h, h, \dots h$ is a majorant, which implies that not only is it an optimal majorant, but that M'' is non-degenerate, and thus that its determinant is the determinant of the characteristic matrix [CDSK12,Thm.4.7]. To finish the proof, we now show that the determinant of the characteristic matrix is a multiple of c_1. As this is equal to c_1D, we can then apply the cancellation law to show that D lies in the ring R.

The characteristic matrix is

$$M''_{char} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1B_1 + c_2B_2 \dots + c_nB_n \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the determinant is linear in the first row, we can set the determinant of M''_{char} equal to $det(M''_1) + det(M''_2) + \dots + det(M''_n)$, where

$$M''_i = \begin{bmatrix} c_iB_i \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix}$$

M''_1 has a first row that is a multiple of c_1, so its determinant is already a multiply of c_1. We now look at the other M''_i: Since we can multiply by elementary matrices, we can divide out the factor of c_i from the top row and multiply the ith row by c_i, leaving the determinant unaffected. Next, for each $j \neq 1$, add c_j times row j to row i. The resulting row i can then be simplified using our earlier linear relation and becomes a multiple of c_1. Thus, the determinant of M''_i is a multiple of c_1, as desired. Below, these last steps are written out explicitly:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_iB_i \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} B_i \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ c_iA_i \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} B_i \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ c_2A_2 + c_3A_3 + \dots + c_nA_n \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} B_i \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ -c_1A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix}$$

References

[CDSK12] S. Carpentier, A. De Sole, V.G. Kac, *Some Algebraic Properties of Differential Operators*, arXiv:1201.1992v1

[Die43] J. Dieudonné *Les déterminants sur un corps non commutatif*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **71**, (1943), 27-45.

[Huf65] G. Hufford, *On the characteristic matrix of a matrix of differential operators*, J. Differential Equations **1**, (1965) 2738.